Comparative analysis of carbon balance and dynamics: influence of climate variability



Bev Law Oregon State University

Riccardo Valentini University of Tuscia





Comparison of Regions with Similar Climate and Vegetation

- Study region: Italy, West Coast of the U.S.
- Similarities
 - Climate: Seasonal droughts, maritime to continental
 - Vegetation: Evergreen forests and woodlands, grasslands
 - Disturbance: harvest, wildfires
- Differences
 - Fossil fuel emissions
 - Land use history
 - Demographics

Strong Precipitation Gradients West Coast US Italy



314.96 599.43 883.91 1168.39 1452.88 1737.34 2021.61 2006.29 2590.77 2675.24 3159.72 3444.20 3728.67

4013.15 4297.52 4582.10

<30.48

Collaboration

Objectives:

- Share methods of regional analysis
- Compare carbon and water fluxes across sites in relation to climate variability in W US and Italy
 - Observations: Flux networks AmeriFlux, Carboltaly
 - Experiments: Manipulations of water availability
- Compare regional carbon balances, and interactive role of climate (precipitation) and disturbance (harvest, wildfire)
 - Models: Prognostic carbon balance models

West Coast U.S. Models informed by observations:

- AmeriFlux sites (9)
- CO₂ concentration sites (5)
- Inventories
- Remote sensing (Landsat, MODIS)
- Address issues relevant to North American Carbon Program, and Western Regional Climate Initiative in 3 of the 5 states



Tower Land-Atmosphere Measurements

AmeriFlux network CO_2 , water exchange



CO₂ concentration sites along maritime to continental gradient



Carbon Uptake Dry vs Wet Forests: Oregon

10 source 0 1998 avg year <u>-</u>2 -10 gC I sink -20 Drv -30 Wet Cumulative NEE DOY 225-239 40 source 20 (wet 2003 dry year gC m⁻² site) o sink -20 Drv Wet -40 226 228 230 232 234 236 238

Carbon sequestration in typical dry summer

Forests growing in areas with more water recharge in winter become carbon source, weak sink during droughts

Regional Analysis of Carbon Balance Data Integration with Process Models



AmeriFlux, CarboEurope play a major role as data providers

Oregon and N California Land Cover



Mean NEP 1996-2000



OR, CA Annual Net Carbon Uptake

- Strong carbon sink in wet coastal forests
- Carbon source to near neutral in arid Great Basin
 - Harvested forests = source of carbon to atmosphere for 5-20 yrs

Regional Analysis of Carbon Balance that Influences Atmospheric CO₂ scenarios

- Harvest as high as fossil fuel
- Wildfire emissions relatively low except in fire year (2002)
- Terrestrial sink (NBP) offset
 ∽
 ∽
 S0% of fossil fuel emissions in
 o
 average fire year
- North American Carbon Program

 terrestrial ecosystems offset
 30% of fossil fuel emissions
 (SOCCR www.nacarbon.org)

Law et al. Global Change Biology 2004 Turner et al. BGD (accepted)





Flux Network for Analysis & Models



Water Manipulation Study - Italy



Carbon Uptake Dry vs Wet Forest: Italy



Legend

Continuous urban fabric Discontinuous urban fabric Industrial or commercial units Road and rail networks and associated land Port areas Airports Mineral extraction sites Dump sites Construction sites Green urban areas Sport and leisure facilities Non-irrigated arable land Permanently irrigated land Rice fields Vinevards Fruit trees and berry plantations Olive groves Pastures Annual crops associated with permanent crops Complex cultivation patterns Land principally occupied by agriculture, with areas of nat. veget. Agro-forestry areas Broad-leaved forest Coniferous forest Mixed forest Natural grasslands Moors and heathland Transitional woodland-shrub Sclerophyllous vegetation Beaches, dunes, sands Bare rocks Sparsely vegetated areas Burnt areas Glaciers and perpetual snow Inland marshes Peat bogs Salt marshes Salines Intertidal flats Water courses Water bodies Coastal lagoons Estuaries

Italy Land Cover





Italy Net Primary Productivity

0.00 50.00

100.00 150.00

200.00 250.00

300.00

350.00

400.00 450.00 550.00 600.00 650.00 700.00 750.00 800.00

C-NPP estimates obtained by the applied integration procedure for the 12 forest types considered

Class index	Forest type	Average estimated C-NPP (g m ^{-2} year ^{-1})
1	White fir/Norway spruce forest	465.1
2	Chestnut forest	654.8
3	Exotic conifer forest	638.8
4	Beech forest	619.8
5	Exotic broadleaf forest	662.5
6	Hygrophilous broadleaf forest	585.7
7	Mediterranean broadleaves	579.3
8	Holm oak	752.8
9	Bushlands	558.2
0	Mediterranean pine forest	669.9
1	Mountain pine forest	642.3
2	Other oaks	688.8

Chirici et al. 2007, Forest. Ecol. Manage.

GPP Anomaly in Europe: Dry Summer (2003) minus Avg Summer (2000-2002)



Reichstein et al. Global Change Biology 2006

Collaboration Summary

• Data Harmonization

- CarboEurope standardized data processing transferred to US
- AmeriFlux database design and data submission protocols transferred to Carboltaly
- Planned Analysis
 - Compare relative influence of climate and disturbance on the carbon balance of the two regions (effects of forest harvest, droughts)

Organizational Collaborations

- CarboEurope, AmeriFlux networks
- COCOS (EU proposal)
- GTOS Terrestrial Carbon Observatory

GTOS Mission

- Goals
 - identify potential end users and their requirements
 - organize and coordinate reliable data, information on carbon
 - link science community with potential users
- Mission focus on specific products
 - manuals, standard methodologies, related documentation
 - operational database system
 - validated and parameterized models
 - Common forum

http://www.fao.org/gtos/tcoABT.html

Current GTOS TCO Activities

Expanding on US-Italy collaboration to global terrestrial science community:

- Harmonizing flux network databases
 - Propose adoption of AmeriFlux data submission protocols in related EU and Italy projects (CarboEurope, CarboAfrica, CarboItaly, IMECC, ICOS, COCOS...)
 - Encourage global use of AmeriFlux data submission protocols
 - AmeriFlux adopted CarboEurope gap-filling, QA; encourage same of other networks
- Biological field measurement protocols
 - West Coast field manual revise for broader use, possibly publish through FAO
- Compare approaches of data-oriented models and process-oriented models (US-Italy collaboration)

Acknowledgements

- Oregon State University
 - Dave Ritts (modeling)
 - Maureen Duane (remote sensing)
- University of Tuscia
 - Dario Papale (modeling)